

## Evaluating the effect of oral administration of *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract on the immune system in dog

S. Torkan<sup>1</sup>, F. Khamesipour<sup>2</sup> & S. Katsande<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Small Animal Internal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran, P.O. Box: 166, <sup>2</sup>Young Researchers and Elite Club, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran, P.O. Box: 166, <sup>3</sup>Paraclinical veterinary studies, University of Zimbabwe, P. O. Box MP 167 Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe

Correspondence:  
F. Khamesipour

### Summary

1. This study was designed to evaluate the effects of oral administration of *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract on the dog's immune system.
2. The study was performed on 14 dogs that were referred to the veterinary clinic. These dogs were randomly allocated to two equal treatment groups. The first group received 1 ml of 5% *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract two times a day for 2 months, and the second group received a placebo (water). To do haematology and immunology tests, the dogs were bled on days 0, 30 and 60. Blood tests, including packed cell volume (PCV), haemoglobin (Hb), red blood cell count (RBC), white blood cell count (WBC), counting neutrophils (Nut), lymphocytes (Lym), monocytes (Mon), eosinophils (Eos), basophils (Baso) and B cell, were performed. Furthermore, safety factor IgM and per cent of phagocytosis and phagocyte were measured from the blood sample.
3. The results showed that in the group which received *Echinacea* PCV, Hb, RBC count, WBC count, Lym, Nut, the per cent of phagocytosis and IgM significantly increased ( $P < 0.05$ ). Moreover, positive effects of *Echinacea* plant on the immune system were observed. There was a significant change in HTC, RBC, Hb over time in the group that received *Echinacea* and the per cent of phagocytosis and IgM ( $P < 0.05$ ).
4. The study establishes that these extracts might have appreciable immunostimulatory activity. However, further studies are required to confirm these findings.

**Keywords:** *Echinacea*, oral administration, dog, immune system

### Introduction

The use of liquid extracts of *Echinacea* has increased recently and most often used as immunostimulating agents for the treatment (Hermann *et al.*, 2003) and prevention of various infectious disorders in human medicine (Wolfram & Hans-Helge, 1999). This is due to an increase in the clinical importance of herbal drugs in modern medicine with considerable attention being paid to the use of plants as a source of immunomodulators being at the centre stage. Several medicinal herbs have shown to promote immunity in different ways; they have shown to augment specific cellular and humoral immune response (Duke, 1985).

Immunomodulators are agents that can modulate the immune response, and their effect may be stimulatory or suppressive (Ghonime *et al.*, 2011). *Echinacea* extracts exhibit that potential. Rehman *et al.* (1999) (Rehman *et al.*, 1999)

showed an increase in primary and secondary IgG response in rats treated with *Echinacea*. A few scientific studies have assessed the efficacy of *Echinacea in vivo* with varying results (Grimm & Müller, 1999; Turner *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, there is need to assess the effects of *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract on dogs as an animal model in assessing its efficacy as an immunostimulant. This study was designed to evaluate the effects of oral administration of *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract on the dog's immune system.

### Materials and methods

#### Animals

All experiments were carried out under the ethical guidelines of the Islamic Azad University of Shahrekord Branch, for the care and use of animals (Ernest *et al.*, 1993).

The study was performed on 14 male dogs of mixed breeds that were randomly allocated to two equal treatment groups. All dogs were subjected to clinical examination and housed under uniform environment after being treated for internal parasites. The first group received 1 ml of 5% *Echinacea* hydroethanolic extract two times a day for 2 months, and the second group received a placebo (water) instead of *Echinacea* extract.

#### Preparation method for *Echinacea* extract

Fifty grams of powdered plant was added to 700 ml of 50% ethanol (350 ml distilled water and 350 ml ethanol), and Soxhlet apparatus was used to prepare hydroethanol extract. The solvent was filtered under reduced pressure. The plant ingredient concentration in the final extract was adjusted to the required concentration by adding distilled water to the dried extract. The extract was prepared each week and stored in a refrigerator.

#### Sample collection and analyses

The dogs were bled on days 0, 30 and 60 for haematology and immunology tests, and every blood sample was divided into two equal volumes for further analyses. The blood tests that were carried out include packed cell volume (PCV), haemoglobin (Hb), red blood cell count (RBC), white blood cell count (WBC), counting neutrophils (Nut), lymphocytes (Lym), monocytes (Mon), eosinophils (Eos) and basophils (Baso).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis focused on mean analysis for repeated measures that is before intervention, 30 days and 60 days. The following model was used:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + B_j + T_k + (\tau BT)_{ijkl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

where  $Y_{ij}$  is the response measured on the  $i$ th treatment,  $i = [\text{PCV, Hb, RBC count, WBC count, counting Nut, Lym, Mon, Eos, Baso, B and Cell}]$ , on the  $j$ th dog, at the  $k$ th time,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $\tau$  is the mean effect of treatment,  $B$  is the random subject effect,  $T_k$  is the time effect,  $\tau BT$  is the interaction effect and  $\varepsilon$  is the error.

#### Results

The total sample size was 14, and each treatment group comprised of seven dogs. Body temperature and respiratory rate of the two groups were

**Table 1** The mean  $\pm$  SD of vital signs of two groups before intervention

Variable	Group	Number of dogs	Mean
Heart rate $\text{min}^{-1}$	<i>Echinacea</i>	7	87.9 $\pm$ 12
	Placebo	7	84.7 $\pm$ 8.7
Body temperature $^{\circ}\text{C}$	<i>Echinacea</i>	7	37.76 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.6
	Placebo	7	38.47 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.4
Respiratory rate $\text{min}^{-1}$	<i>Echinacea</i>	7	20.1 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.5
	Placebo	7	25.3 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.6

Different superscript letters within the same column indicate significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).

significantly different before the intervention Table 1 ( $P < 0.05$ ). Heart rate was higher in the group that was selected to receive *Echinacea* but not significantly different from the group that received the placebo ( $P > 0.05$ ). The results showed that in the group which received *Echinacea* PCV, Hb, RBC count, WBC count, Lym, Nut, the per cent of phagocytosis and IgM significantly increased (Table 2 and 3) ( $P < 0.05$ ). Also, the results indicated effects of *Echinacea* plant on the immune system. There was a significant change over time in the group that received *Echinacea* on HTC, RBC, Hb and the per cent of phagocytosis and IgM ( $P < 0.05$ ).

#### Discussion

Burger *et al.* (1997) (Burger *et al.*, 1997) and See *et al.* (1997) (See *et al.*, 1997) observed that extracts from *Echinacea* have non-specific immunostimulatory properties *in vitro* including increased phagocytosis, cytokine production and natural killer cell activity. The plant and its extracts have been shown to stimulate phagocytosis *in vitro* and *in vivo* in murine (Melchart *et al.*, 1995). Roesler *et al.* (1991) (Roesler *et al.*, 1991) confirmed activation of human phagocytic function both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Information generated in past research suggests that the immunostimulatory activity of *Echinacea* depends on the combined action of caffeic acid derivatives and alkylamides (Bauer 1998; Hermann *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, many pharmacological compounds have been isolated from *Echinacea* (San Feliciano *et al.*, 1993), and several constituents are alleged to be immunologically active, including polysaccharides and glycoproteins (Bauer *et al.*, 1988). Sloley *et al.* (2001) (Sloley *et al.*, 2001) showed that phenylpropanoid glycosides, which are constituents of certain *Echinacea* species, possess antiviral properties and are antioxidants and free radical scavengers and inhibit  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ -induced lipid peroxidation.

**Table 2** Comparison of mean  $\pm$  SD of blood parameters before and after intervention

Variable	Treatment	Before	1 month	2 month
HTC	<i>Echinacea</i>	43.43 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.11*	47.71 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.606**	48.14 $\pm$ 0.857**
	Placebo	47 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 3.97	46.29 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 4.539	50 $\pm$ 1.397
Red blood cell count (RBC)	<i>Echinacea</i>	7.36 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.143*	7.86 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.053**	7.94 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.084**
	Placebo	7.47 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.465	7.50 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.493	7.46 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.178
Haemoglobin (Hb)	<i>Echinacea</i>	14.70 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.235	15.34 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.043	15.10 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.136
	Placebo	14.26 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.612	14.56 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.581	14.42 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.274
White blood cell count (WBC)	<i>Echinacea</i>	12990 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 445.67*	13290 $\pm$ 441.65**	13550 $\pm$ 408.54**
	Placebo	11580 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 233.467	11890 $\pm$ 535.079	11950 $\pm$ 328.494
Neutrophils (Nnt)	<i>Echinacea</i>	58.143 $\pm$ 3.181*	62.286 $\pm$ 1.96**	56.714 $\pm$ 2.579*
	Placebo	63.714 $\pm$ 3.746*	61.143 $\pm$ 3.508*	57.429 $\pm$ 3.872**
Band	<i>Echinacea</i>	2.286 $\pm$ 0.747	1.571 $\pm$ 0.297	1.571 $\pm$ 0.429
	Placebo	1.714 $\pm$ 0.36	1.714 $\pm$ 0.184	1.143 $\pm$ 0.508
Lymphocytes (Lym)	<i>Echinacea</i>	27.143 $\pm$ 2.539*	31.714 $\pm$ 2.942**	34.286 $\pm$ 4.144**
	Placebo	32.571 $\pm$ 2.626*	31.714 $\pm$ 2.109*	27.143 $\pm$ 3.068**
Monocytes (Mon)	<i>Echinacea</i>	2.714 $\pm$ 0.565	2.857 $\pm$ 0.34	3.857 $\pm$ 0.34
	Placebo	3.286 $\pm$ 0.522	3.429 $\pm$ 0.369	2.857 $\pm$ 0.261
Eosinophils (Eos)	<i>Echinacea</i>	4.143 $\pm$ 0.738	3.857 $\pm$ 1.122	4.371 $\pm$ 0.528
	Placebo	3.714 $\pm$ 1.04	3 $\pm$ 0.65	4 $\pm$ 0.535
Basophils (Baso)	<i>Echinacea</i>	0.313 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.143	0.557 $\pm$ 0.143	0.571 $\pm$ 0.297
	Placebo	0.429 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.202	0.286 $\pm$ 0.184	0.571 $\pm$ 0.297

Different superscript letters within the same column indicate significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*, \*\*, \*\*\* = significantly different means in the same row.

**Table 3** Comparison of phagocytes, phagocytosis and IgM before and after intervention

Variable	Treatment	Before	30 days	60 days
Phagocytes	<i>Echinacea</i>	25.857 $\pm$ 2.604*	27.286 $\pm$ 2.884**	28.462 $\pm$ 2.07**
	Placebo	34.286 $\pm$ 2.427*	32.571 $\pm$ 2.776*	27.286 $\pm$ 1.886**
Phagocytosis	<i>Echinacea</i>	25.429 $\pm$ 3.747	25.143 $\pm$ 2.385	23.857 $\pm$ 2.064
	Placebo	30.714 $\pm$ 4.714	23.143 $\pm$ 2.721	26.429 $\pm$ 1.478
IgM	<i>Echinacea</i>	138.714 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 3.242*	159.857 $\pm$ 13.674**	182.857 $\pm$ 13.956***
	Placebo	150.286 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 18.774	181.714 $\pm$ 7.63	186.429 $\pm$ 6.679

Different superscript letters within the same column indicate significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\*, \*\*, \*\*\* = significantly different means in the same row.

The haemoglobin levels were significantly increased in the trial by *Echinacea* extract. This is supported by Anon (1989) (Anon, 1989) who concluded that *Echinacea* extract behave as an agent that improves the quality of blood by increasing haemoglobin levels and the number of erythrocytes therefore, considered to improve parameters of exercise physiology and performance.

Increase in the number of lymphocytes during the treatment phase of the study support the information generated in other investigations (See *et al.*, 1997; Steinmuller *et al.*, 1993) which suggests that *Echinacea* behaves as an immune system stimulant. In addition, previous studies have demonstrated that *Echinacea* has an enhancing effect on lymphocyte function and proliferation (See *et al.*, 1997). Furthermore, *Echinacea* extracts showed protection of immunosuppressed mice against systemic infections with stimulation of

macrophage and neutrophil function (Steinmuller *et al.*, 1993).

Increase of neutrophil counts was achieved only after the first month and then decreased after the second month on *Echinacea* treatment group, thereby raising the question as to what other external factors may have contributed to the change. However, Melchart *et al.* (1995) (Melchart *et al.*, 1994, 1995) and O'Neill *et al.* (2002) demonstrated the effect of *Echinacea* on the capacity of neutrophils to ingest more foreign particles and stimulatory effect on these cells by improving phagocytic function.

The changes that occurred to the blood parameters measured in the current study are strongly due to the effects of *Echinacea* which acted as an immunomodulator by activating cytotoxic effector cells such as cytotoxic T lymphocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, lymphocytes, macrophages and

activated neutrophils as observed by Ghonime *et al.* (2011) (Ghonime *et al.*, 2011).

Administration of *Echinacea* showed increased number of the total WBC count. Similar increase in WBC count was obtained by plant extracts of *Silene nocturna*, *Nigella sativa* and *Matricaria chamomilla* (Ghonime *et al.*, 2011) and *Withania somnifera* (Davis & Kuttan, 2000). This indicates they can stimulate the hemopoietic system. Although significant patterns were observed, nevertheless, one limitation of the study was the insufficient sample to detect small to moderate differences in the parameters measured between the *Echinacea* and the placebo groups. However, the sample size was small and that might have resulted in large discrepancies observed between the two treatments.

## References

- ANON. (1989). DORLAND'S MEDICAL DICTIONARY, 24TH EDN. W.B. SAUNDERS CO., PHILADELPHIA.
- BAUER, V.R.. (1998). *Echinacea*: Biological Effects and Active Principles. In: Lawson, L. and R. Bauer (eds.). *Phytomedicines of Europe: Chemistry and Biological Activity*. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society, pp. 140–157.
- BAUER, V.R., JURCIC, K., PUHLMANN, J. & WAGNER, H. (1988). Immunologic *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies on *Echinacea* extracts. *Arzneimittelforschung*, **38**, 276–281.
- BURGER, R.A., TORRES, A.R., WARREN, R.P., CALDWELL, V.D. & HUGHES, B.G. (1997). *Echinacea*-induced cytokine production by human macrophages. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.*, **19**, 371–379.
- DAVIS, L. & KUTTAN, G. (2000). Immunomodulatory activity of *Withania somnifera*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, **71**, 193–200.
- DUKE, J.A.. (1985). CRC Handbook of Medicinal Herbs. CRC Press Inter Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 272–276.
- ERNEST, D., OLFERT, D.V.M., BRENDA, M., CROSS, D.V.M. & MCWILLIAM, A.A. (1993). Guide to the care and use of experimental animals. In: Canadian Council on Animal Care, Canadian Council on Animal Care, 1000-151 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5H3.
- GHONIME, M., ELDOMANY, R., ABDELAZIZ, A. & SOLIMAN, H. (2011). Evaluation of immunomodulatory effect of three herbal plants growing in Egypt. *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.*, **33**, 141–145.
- GRIMM, W. & MÜLLER, H.H. (1999). A randomized controlled trial of the effect of fluid extract of *Echinacea purpurea* on the incidence and severity of colds and respiratory infections. *Am. J. Med.*, **106**, 138–143.
- HERMANN, J.R., HONEYMAN, M.S., ZIMMERMAN, J.J., THACKER, B.J., HOLDEN, P.J. & CHANG, C.C. (2003). Effect of dietary *Echinacea purpurea* on viremia and performance in porcine. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **81**, 2139–2144.
- MELCHART, D., LINDE, K., WORKU, F., BAUER, R. & WAGNER, H. (1994). Immunomodulation with *Echinacea* - a systematic review of clinical trials. *Phytomed.*, **1**, 245–254.
- MELCHART, D., LINDE, K., WORKU, F., SARKADY, L., HOZMANN, M., JURCIC, K. & WAGNER, H. (1995). Results of five randomized studies on the immunomodulatory activity of preparations of *Echinacea*. *J. Altern Complement Med.*, **1**, 145–160.
- O'NEILL, W., MCKEE, S. & CLARKE, A.F. (2002). Immunological and haematinic consequences of feeding a standardised *Echinacea* (*Echinacea angustifolia*) extract to healthy horses. *Equine Vet. J.*, **34**, 222–227.
- REHMAN, J., DILLOW, J.M., CARTER, S.M., CHOU, J., LEE, B. & MAISEL, A.S. (1999). Increased production of antigen-specific immunoglobulins G and M following *in vivo* treatment with medicinal plants *Echinacea angustifolia* and *Hydrastis canadensis*. *Immunol. Lett.*, **68**, 391–395.
- ROESLER, J., EMMENDORFFER, A., STEINMULLER, C., LUETTIG, B., WAGNER, H. & LOHMANN-MATTHES, M. (1991). Application of purified polysaccharides from cell cultures of the plant *Echinacea purpurea* to test subject mediates activation of the phagocyte system. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.*, **13**, 931–941.
- SAN FELICIANO, A., GORDALIZA, M., SALINERO, M.A. & DEL CORAL, M.M. (1993). Abietane acids: sources, biological activities, and therapeutic uses. *Planta Med.*, **59**, 485–490.
- SEE, D.M., BROUMAND, N., SAHL, L. & TILLES, J.G. (1997). *In vitro* effects of *Echinacea* and ginseng on natural killer and antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity in healthy subjects and chronic fatigue syndrome or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome patients. *Immunopharmacol*, **35**, 229–235.
- SLOLEY, B.D., URICHUK, L.J., TYWIN, C., COUTTS, R.T., PANG, P.K.T. & SHAN, J.J. (2001). Comparison of chemical components and antioxidant capacity of different *Echinacea* species. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, **53**, 849–857.
- STEINMULLER, J., ROESLER, E., GROTTTRUP, G., FRANKE, G., WAGNER, H. & LOHMANN-MATTHES, M.L. (1993). Polysaccharides isolated from plant cell cultures of

*Autonomic and Autocoid  
Pharmacology*  
2015, 35, 9–13

*Echinacea purpurea* enhance the resistance of immunosuppressed mice against systemic infections with *Candida albicans* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.*, **15**, 605–614.

TURNER, R.B., RIKER, D.K. & GANGEMI, J.D. (2000). Ineffectiveness of

*Echinacea* for prevention of experimental Rhinovirus colds. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **44**, 1708–1709.

WOLFRAM, G. & HANS-HELGE, M. (1999). A randomized controlled trial of the effect of fluid extract of *Echinacea purpurea* on the incidence and severity of colds

and respiratory infections. *Am. J. Med.*, **106**, 138–143.

(Received 27 September 2014  
Revised 30 December 2014  
Accepted 11 January 2015)